

# FISCAL NOTE

**Bill #:** SB469

**Title:** Specific notice for paying property tax under protest

**Primary Sponsor:** Jim Elliot

**Status:** As Amended in Senate Committee

Sponsor signature	Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	---------------------------------	------

## Fiscal Summary

### FY 2004 Difference

### FY 2005 Difference

#### Expenditures:

General Fund

\$0

\$0

#### Revenue:

General Fund

\$0

\$0

#### Net Impact on General Fund Balance:

\$0

\$0

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov. Impact    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached  | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  |

## Fiscal Analysis

### ASSUMPTIONS:

1. There are no administrative costs to the department of revenue.

### TECHNICAL NOTES:

1. The proposal (page 2 lines 3-4) directs the department to include language regarding the requirement of notification of protest on the assessment notices required in 15-7-111, MCA. This section of law (15-7-111, MCA) does not require assessment notices. Assessment notices are required under 15-7-102, MCA. The reference to 15-7-111 should be amended to 15-7-102.
2. The title of the bill has been amended to include “district property tax revenue in the previous year”, but does not make a similar amendment in the bill.